



CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

COURSE MATERIALS


Volume II

Philip Epstein and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

Prepared with the assistance of Brendan Van Niejenhuis

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

COURSE MATERIALS

Volume II

Philip Epstein and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

Prepared with the assistance of Brendan Van Niejenhuis

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/childreninfamily02epst>

CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

VOL. II
2001—2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

V. ACCESS

A. INTRODUCTION

N. Marcil-Gratton and C. Le Bourdais, <i>Custody, Access and Child Support: Findings from the National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth</i> , Child Support Team, Department of Justice Canada.	249
Department of Justice, <i>Custody and Access: Public Discussion Paper</i>	254
Carol Smart and Bren Neale, “Arguments Against Virtue – Must Contact Be Enforced?” (optional reading).	257

B. THE LEGAL CONTENT OF ACCESS: THE RIGHTS OF THE ACCESS PARENT

Berend Hovius, “The Changing Role of the Access Parent”	262
<i>Young v. Young</i>	265
Note: <i>P.(D.) v. S.(C.)</i>	279
Berend Hovius, “The Changing Role of the Access Parent” (“The Choice of Schools”).....	281
<i>National Post</i> , “Man Drops Bid to Force Wife to Pump Breast Milk”	286
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Mother Ordered to Butt Out in the Car”	287
<i>Kreklewetz v. Scopel</i>	288
<i>Kreklewetz v. Scopel</i> (additional reasons).....	292
<i>Trociuk v. British Columbia (Attorney General)</i>	293

C. TERMINATION AND RESTRICTION OF ACCESS

Laurie McMurchie, “Restriction and Termination of Access”	295
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , 1998, “Supervised Parenting Programs”	297
<i>H. v. J.</i>	299
<i>M. (B.P.) v. M. (B.L.D.E.)</i>	300
<i>F.(W.) v. R.(G.)</i>	308
<i>Johnson-Steeves v. Lee</i>	311
<i>Hildinger v. Carroll</i>	315

<i>Myderwyk v. Ball</i>	318
-------------------------------	-----

D. PARENTAL ALIENATION

<i>O'Connell v. McIndoes</i>	319
G. Kerr and P. Jaffe, "Clinical and Legal Pitfalls in Adopting the Parental Alienation Syndrome in Child Custody Disputes"	323
<i>Matrimonial Affairs</i> , "Parental Alienation Syndrome"	328
Rhonda Freeman, "Parenting After Divorce: Using Research to Inform Decision-Making About Children"	329
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , "Parental Estrangement and Parental Alienation"	333
Janet Johnston and Vivienne Roseby, <i>In the Name of the Child</i> , Chap. 8, "Parental Alignments and Alienation Among Children of High Conflict Divorce"	336

E. INTERFERENCE WITH ACCESS: ACCESS ENFORCEMENT

P. Epstein, "Custody and Access", Chap. 4 in Law Society of Upper Canada, Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials, 1996 (material on "Contempt")	340
<i>McMillan v. McMillan</i>	343
<i>Tremblay v. Tremblay</i>	350
<i>Frame v. Smith</i>	351
M. Bailey, "Enforcement of Access Orders"	354
Unproclaimed 1989 Amendments to the <i>Children's Law Reform Act</i>	370
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , "Access Enforcement"	372
Nicholas Bala, "A Report from Canada's 'Gender War Zone': Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> "	379
Government of Canada's Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , May 1999, "Enforcement"	381

VI. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND CUSTODY AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS

"Slain Mother of Two Denied Police Escort During Child Exchange", <i>National Post</i> , Dec. 7, 1999	383
S.G. Kerr and P.G. Jaffe, "Legal and Clinical Issues in Child Custody Disputes Involving Domestic Violence"	384
Nicholas Bala <i>et al.</i> , <i>Spousal Violence in Custody and Access Disputes: Recommendations for Reform</i> ("Legal Responses to Spousal Violence").....	387
Martha Shaffer and Nicholas Bala, "Wife Abuse and Family Law in Canada"	403
<i>Savidant v. MacLeod</i>	414

<i>Fullarton v. Fullarton</i>	416
<i>Costa v. Costa</i>	419
<i>Alexander v. Creary</i>	422
Nicholas Bala <i>et al.</i> , <i>Spousal Violence in Custody and Access Disputes: Recommendations for Reform</i> (“Recommendations for Legislative and Program Reforms in Canada”)	427
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Custody and Access</i> , Chapter 5(D), “Domestic Violence”	434
Nicholas Bala, “A Report from Canada’s ‘Gender War Zone’: Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> ”	440
Government of Canada’s Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , May 1999, “Violence”	442
Federal, Provincial and Territorial Consultation on Custody, Access and Child Support in Canada (questions on family violence)	444
Janet R. Johnston and Vivienne Roseby, <i>In the Name of the Child</i> , Chap. 2, “Domestic Violence and Parent-Child Relationships in Families Disputing Custody”	450
 VII. MOBILITY	
P. Epstein, “Custody and Access”, Chap. 4 in Law Society of Upper Canada, <i>Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials</i> , 1996	454
<i>Gordon v. Goertz</i>	455
Note: Mobility Cases since <i>Gordon</i> (<i>Woodhouse</i> and <i>Luckhurst</i>)	471
<i>Ligate v. Richardson</i>	473
D.A. Rollie Thompson, <i>Relocation and Relitigation: After Gordon v. Goertz</i>	488
J.S. Wallerstein and T.J. Tanke, “To Move or Not to Move: Psychological and Legal Considerations in the Relocation of Children Following Divorce”	502
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , “Relocation Cases”	507
S.B. Boyd, “Gendering the Best Interests Principle: Custody, Access, and Relocation In a Mobile Society” (optional reading)	509
 VIII. GRANDPARENT AND OTHER THIRD PARTY ACCESS	
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody And Access</i> , “Widening the Circle: Involving Others with the Children of Divorce”	516
Nicholas Bala, “A Report from Canada’s ‘Gender War Zone’: Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> ”	519
Dan Goldberg, “Can the Grandfolk’s Visit Janie: Who Decides?”	520
Martha Shaffer, “To Grandmother’s House We Go? An Examination of Grandparent Access”	542

<i>Chapman v. Chapman</i> (Ont. C.A.)	553
Cartoon, <i>New York Times</i> , Jan. 9, 2000	556
 IX. ASSESSMENTS	
P. Epstein, “Custody and Access”, in <i>Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials</i> , Law Society of Upper Canada.....	557
Nicholas Bala, “Assessing the Assessor: Legal Issues”	561
D.L. Chambers, “Rethinking the Substantive Rules for Custody Disputes in Divorce”	582
Jean McBean, “The Myth of Maternal Preference in Child Custody Cases”	582
P.J. Caplan and J. Wilson, “Assessing the Child Custody Assessors”	583
<i>Mantesso v. Mantesso</i>	591
<i>Linton v. Clarke</i>	595
Note: <i>Young v. Young</i>	600